



Confidential Jury Chairman Report APS World Series of Philately Shows

Name of Chairman: _____

Show: _____ Date: _____

1) **Preparation for Judging**

Did the local committee provide the jury with adequate information beforehand about the exhibits, i.e., title pages, synopses, in time to properly prepare?

Was a list of exhibits in frame order, a set of worksheets, and lists of exhibits eligible for special awards provided?

Did the jury receive all the required information about show and judging logistics, i.e. where to go, and when, times of all events, schedules, names of the judges, etc.?

When did you receive the first mailing?

When did you receive the last mailing?

2) **Judging**

Were there any specific problems with any of the jury members during the judging, deliberations, or critique, e.g. not well prepared, uncooperative with fellow jurors, missing for periods of time, not cooperative with exhibitors, not available for at the frames critiques, gross errors of judgement about exhibits, etc.? If yes, specify name and briefly describe the problem.

Did you discuss the problem(s) noted with the judge in question, and was there any reaction?

Were there any exceptionally good performances turned in by accredited jury members?
Briefly describe

3) **Post-Judging**

Were the jury room facilities adequate?

Was the room used for the critique adequate?

Was the jury introduced at the banquet?

Was there any other judging related difficulty?

4) **Overall**

Was there anything exceptionally good, poor or unusual about the show that you would like to mention, for example, was the show well attended, were the dealers happy, is the Show Committee strong?

(Signed)

(Date)

Return to: Stephen Reinhard, Chairman
APS Accreditation of National Exhibitions and Judges Committee
P. O. Box 110
Mineola, NY 11501

revised 2009/03/26